Serial No.: 09/877,516 Filed: June 7, 2001

Page : 2 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 10016656-1 Amendment dated September 4, 2003 Reply to Office action dated June 5, 20003

Amendments to the Claims

The following Listing of Claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (original): A method of generating a graphical bar code, comprising: applying an invertible graphical operation between regions of a base image and information-encoding graphical templates selected from a predefined template set to produce a graphical bar code with regions from which graphical templates are recoverable by applying an inverse graphical operation between graphical bar code regions and corresponding base image regions.

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the invertible graphical operation corresponds to an exclusive OR (XOR) operation.

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 2, further comprising applying XOR operations between the graphical bar code regions and corresponding base image regions to produce the graphical templates.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The method of claim 1, wherein each of the base image regions and the graphical templates has a same number of pixels.

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 4, wherein each of the base image regions and the graphical templates has a common pixel layout.

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein the common pixel layout corresponds to a rectangular pixel array.

Claim 7 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein each graphical template comprises a pattern of bright and dark pixels.

Serial No.: 09/877,516 Filed: June 7, 2001

Page : 3 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 10016656-1 Amendment dated September 4, 2003 Reply to Office action dated June 5, 20003

Claim 8 (original): The method of claim 7, wherein the number of bright pixels is greater than the number of dark pixels.

Claim 9 (original): The method of claim 7, wherein each pixel location within the predefined template set has an equal probability of being a dark pixel.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, wherein the <u>invertible</u> graphical operation is applied between an ordered sequence of base image regions and a sequence of graphical templates are ordered to substantially preserve local dark dot density uniformity across a concatenation of the ordered sequence of graphical templates adaptively in accordance with one or more predefined rules relating to disfavored graphical template sequences.

Claim 11 (original): A computer program residing on a computer-readable medium and comprising computer-readable instructions for causing a computer to:

apply an invertible graphical operation between regions of a base image and information-encoding graphical templates selected from a predefined template set to produce a graphical bar code with regions from which graphical templates are recoverable by applying an inverse graphical operation between graphical bar code regions and corresponding base image regions.

Claim 12 (original): A method of decoding a graphical bar code, comprising: applying an invertible graphical operation between regions of a graphical bar code and corresponding regions of a base image to produce a set of measurement blocks; and selecting from a predefined template set information-encoding graphical templates corresponding to the set of measurement blocks with the highest estimated probability.

Claim 13 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein the invertible graphical operation corresponds to an XOR operation.

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 12, further comprising computing pixel value probabilities for each of the measurement blocks.

Serial No.: 09/877,516 Filed: June 7, 2001

Page : 4 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 10016656-1 Amendment dated September 4, 2003 Reply to Office action dated June 5, 20003

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 14, wherein pixel value probabilities are computed for a given measurement block based upon a weighted average of gray value measurements over the given measurement block.

Claim 16 (previously presented): The method of claim 15, wherein the weighted average of gray values is computed by fitting a mask to dot locations over the given measurement block.

Claim 17 (original): The method of claim 16, wherein the mask has a truncated Gaussian profile.

Claim 18 (original): The method of claim 15, further comprising estimating parameters of probability distributions fit to a histogram of the weighted average of gray value measurements.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 18, wherein the probability distributions are asymmetric Laplacian distributions.

Claim 20 (original): A computer program residing on a computer-readable medium and comprising computer-readable instructions for causing a computer to:

apply an invertible graphical operation between regions of a graphical bar code and corresponding regions of a base image to produce a set of measurement blocks; and

select from a predefined template set information-encoding graphical templates corresponding to the set of measurement blocks with the highest estimated probability.

Claim 21 (new): The method of claim 1, wherein the invertible graphical operation is applied between respective sub-regions of the base image and respective graphical templates.

Claim 22 (new): The method of claim 21, wherein the invertible graphical operation is applied between a predetermined sequence of base image sub-regions and an information-encoding sequence of graphical templates.

Serial No.: 09/877,516 Filed: June 7, 2001

Page : :

: 5 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 10016656-1 Amendment dated September 4, 2003 Reply to Office action dated June 5, 20003

Claim 23 (new): The method of claim 22, wherein the information-encoding sequence of graphical templates is recoverable by applying the inverse graphical operation between respective sub-regions of the graphical bar code and respective sub-regions of the base image ordered in accordance with the predetermined base image sub-region sequence.